



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/697,282	10/31/2003	Se Wan Kim	P24527	8430		
7055	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			MARC, MO	MARC, MCDIEUNEL		
RESTON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			3661	3661		
			•			

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/697	,282	KIM ET AL.				
		Examir	ier	Art Unit				
			nel Marc	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 January 2	006.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,13-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4,9,10,12 and 17</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)L	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	·							
Attachment	` '		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	40)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ · No(s)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.
- 2. The rejection to claims 1-3, 5-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weber (U.S. Pat. No. 5,491,670 A) is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 2-3, 5, 7-8, 11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weber (U.S. Pat. No. 5,491,670 A).

As per claims 1, 6 and 13, <u>Weber</u> teaches a system and an associated method having a mobile robot using an image sensor (see Weber's video cameras in col. 1, line 58, which being considered as image sensor), comprising: an image capturer that captures, at predetermined intervals images of a bottom surface in accordance with motion of a mobile robot by using and image sensor (see particularly video cameras as mentioned above); a displacement measurer that measures displacement with respect to the captured image (see video cameras of the abstract in combination with col. 22, lines 53-56); and a microcomputer that outputs an actual moving distance by direction

Application/Control Number: 10/697,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

and motion of the mobile robot on the basis of a value of the measured displacement (besides Weber's computer, inherently a microcomputer system being used in order to calculate actual distance).

As per claims 2-3, 5, 7-8, 11 and 14-16, Weber further comprising: a memory for storing images outputted from the image capture unit (see Weber's video cameras as described above); and a comparator for comparing an image presently outputted from the image capture unit with a previous image stored in the memory (see col. 1, line 56 - to - col. 2, lines -16, particularly recognize landmarks involves comparison). With respect to claim 7, storing the captured image (see Weber's computer system). With respect to claim 3, wherein the displacement measurer measures a moving path of pixels of an image of each frame outputted from the comparator (see col. 1, line 56 – to col. 2, lines -16, particularly recognize landmarks involves comparing pixels of images). With respect to claim 5, a luminous diode for irradiating light; a light guide for guiding the irradiated light; and an image sensor for capturing an image about the bottom surface by sensing intensity variation of light reflected onto the bottom surface through a light lens according to motion of the mobile robot (see inherently such limitation has been covered into the video camera as noted above). With respect to claim 8, wherein the image is divided into a certain pixels in the image capturing step, each pixel receives light reflected onto the bottom surface according to a material of the bottom surface and is discriminated by black and white brightness (inherently such limitation has been known in the video camera art). With respect to claim 11, wherein a moving distance is measured according to direction of the pixel and magnitude of movement in the image in the displacement measuring step (besides Weber's computer, inherently a microcomputer system being used in order to calculate actual distance as noted above).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,282 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

5. Claims 4, 9-10, 12 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fail to teach or fairly suggest with respect to clam 4, wherein the image capture unit captures an image of the bottom surface having 18*18 pixel and 64-degrees brightness by 1500 frames per second. With claim 8, wherein the image is captured by 1500 frames per second so as to have 18*18 pixels and 64-degress brightness. With respect to claim 10, wherein the actual moving distance is calculated by dividing a pixel moving distance by a certain time, more preferable, {fraction (1/1500)} sec. With respect to claims 12 and 17, wherein it is judged the mobile robot is not moved, when each captured image is the same in comparison, it is judged the mobile robot is moved, when each captured image is not the same in comparison in the displacement measuring step.

Response to Arguments

As to the reference not teaching video camera in the abstract, Examiner and the applicant's representative conclude that Weber's reference contains video cameras which being used as sensor and device for capturing image.

As to the reference not teaching a mobile robot, Weber is teaching a robot in combination with navigation technology, and furthermore, Weber disclosure belongs to mobile robot

Application/Control Number: 10/697,282

Art Unit: 3661

environment, wherein technical field of search of issued patent contains in its record the following art documents:

A. Zelinsky, "Mobile Robot Map Making Using Sonar", 1991, Journal of Robotic Systems 8(5), pp. 557-577.

Hisashi Suzuki, Suguru Arimoto, "Visual Control of Autonomous <u>Mobile Robot</u> Based on Self-Organizing Model for Pattern Learning", Oct. 1988, Journal of Robotic Systems, 5(5), pp. 453-470.

Tod S. Levitt, Daryl T. Lawton, "Qualitative <u>Navigation for Mobile Robots</u>", 1990, Artificial Intelligence 44 (1990) pp. 305-360, v44, No. 3, Holland.

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/12/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/697,282

Art Unit: 3661

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to McDieunel Marc whose telephone number is (571) 272-6964.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

McDieunel Marc

Monday, March 27, 2006

MM/

THOMAS G. BLACK
THOMAS G. BLAC

Page 6